with

### Data collection

Marresearch Image Plate	2211 reflections
95 frames at 2° intervals,	$l > 2\sigma(l)$
counting time 2 min	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.92^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$
2544 measured reflections	$k = -12 \rightarrow 13$
2544 independent reflections	$l = -11 \rightarrow 13$

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$  $wR(F^2) = 0.159$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.092Extinction correction: 2544 reflections SHELXL93 218 parameters Extinction coefficient: H atoms: see below 0.23(2) $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0795P)^2]$ Scattering factors from + 0.3806P] International Tables for where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Crystallography (Vol. C)

H atoms were fixed at ideal positions with  $U_{\rm iso} = 1.2U_{\rm eq}$  of the C atom to which they were bonded. Data analysis used the *XDS* program (Kabsch, 1988). The N atoms in each pyridine ring were unequivocally identified by having the lowest displacement parameters and not having an attached H atom in the difference Fourier map. Uncertainties on C—C bonds do not exceed 0.004 Å.

Data collection: XDS. Cell refinement: XDS. Data reduction: XDS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 1994).

We thank EPSRC and the University of Reading for funds for the Image Plate System and the EC for support (Contract F141-CT-96-0010). The University of Reading is also thanked for the provision of the Supercomputer for the *GAUSSIAN*94 calculations.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1223). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Barclay, G. A., Vagg, R. S. & Watton, E. C. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 3487-3491.
- Byers, P., Chan, G. Y. S., Drew, M. G. B. & Hudson, M. J. (1996). Polyhedron, 17, 2845–2849.
- Byers, P., Drew, M. G. B., Hudson, M. J., Isaacs, N. S. & Madic, C. (1994). Polyhedron, 15, 349–355.
- Chan, G. Y. S., Drew, M. G. B., Hudson, M. J., Isaacs, N. S. & Byers, P. (1996). Polyhedron, 15, 3385–3398.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Gill, P. M. W., Johnson, B. G., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Keith, T. A., Petersson, G. A., Montgomery, J. A., Raghavachari, K., Al-Laham, M. A., Zakrzewski, V. G., Ortiz, J. V., Foresman, J. B., Cioslowski, J., Stefanov, B. B., Nanayakkara, A., Challalcombe, M., Peng, C. Y., Ayala, P. Y., Chen, W., Wong, M. W., Andrews, J. L., Replogle, E. S., Gomperts, R., Martin, R. L., Fox, D. L., Binkley, J. S., Defrees, D. J., Baker, J., Stewart, J. P., Head-Gordon, M., Gonzalcz, C. & Pople, J. A. (1995). *GAUSSIAN*94. Revision A1. Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-480.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1994). PLATON94. Program for the Automated Analysis of Molecular Geometry. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 987-992

# Tetraundecylpentacyclooctacosadodecaenoctol Tetraethanol Solvate, (I), and Tetraundecylpentacyclooctacosadodecaenedodecol Hydrate 2.5-Ethanol Solvate, (II)†

DAVID E. HIBBS,<sup>*a*</sup> MICHAEL B. HURSTHOUSE,<sup>*a*</sup> K. M. ABDUL MALIK,<sup>*a*</sup> HARRY ADAMS,<sup>*b*</sup> CHARLES J. M. STIRLING<sup>*b*</sup> AND FRANK DAVIS<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Wales, Cardiff, PO Box 912, Park Place, Cardiff CF1 3TB, Wales, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Centre for Molecular Materials, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF, England. E-mail: sackam@cardiff.ac.uk

(Received 2 October 1996; accepted 17 December 1997)

#### Abstract

The X-ray crystal structures for the title compounds, (I)  $(C_{72}H_{112}O_8.4C_2H_6O)$  and (II)  $(C_{72}H_{112}O_{12}.2.5C_2H_6O.-H_2O)$  (also called alkyl calix[4]resorcinarene and calix-[4]pyrogallene, respectively), have been determined. The 'legs' of the long alkyl chains on both compounds are deeply interdigitated and this observation accounts for the spontaneous formation of multilayers of such molecules. Both compounds are in a cone conformation in the crystal with very similar geometry parameters. The arrangement of the molecules in the crystal is also similar, with the long alkyl chains lying nearly parallel to the *c* axis and with 'bowl'-to-'bowl' interactions being effected through van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonds involving solvate molecules [ethanol in the case of (I), and ethanol and water in the case of (II)].

#### Comment

The structures and behaviour of calix[4]resorcinarenes, (I), have attracted widespread attention in recent years

<sup>†</sup> Systematic names: 2,8,14,20-tetraundecylpentacyclo[19.3.1.1<sup>3,7</sup>,  $1^{9,13}$ ,1<sup>15,19</sup>]octacosa-1(25),3,5,7(28),9,11,13(27),15,17,19(26),21,23-dodecaen-4,6,10,12,16,18,22,24-octol tetraethanol solvate and 2,8,14,-20-tetraundecylpentacyclo[19.3.1.1<sup>3,7</sup>,1<sup>9,13</sup>,1<sup>15,19</sup>]octacosa-1(25),3,5,7(28),9,11,13(27),15,17,19(26),21,23-dodecaene-4,5,6,10,11,12,16,17,-18,22,23,24-dodecol hydrate 2.5-ethanol solvate.

(Gutsche, 1989). These resorcinol-aldehyde oligomers are very easily synthesized and because of their amphiphilic properties, have been widely studied in supramolecular constructions (Cram & Cram, 1994), host-guest interactions and complexation with metal ions and with sugars (Aoyama et al., 1989), and most recently in thin film assemblies (Huisman et al., 1995; Van-Velzen et al., 1995; Adams et al., 1994: Davis et al., 1996). Calix[4]resorcinarenes as a class are conformationally mobile but the mobility is modified by substituents. Only very few crystal structures have been determined because the compounds are generally difficult to crystallize (Gutsche, 1989). The exceptions involve examples in which the hydroxyl groups on the bowl rims are modified, e.g. by acylation or when the compounds are reacted under Mannich conditions (Leigh et al., 1994). The present investigation had its origin in our studies of the behaviour of calix[4]resorcinarenes in ultra-thin organic films assembled either by goldthiol deposition (Adams et al., 1994) or by spontaneous multilayering on a variety of substrates (Davis & Stirling, 1995; Davis et al., 1996). A striking result of the study was that calix[4]resorcinarenes with C11 chains at the 2,8,14,20-positions formed multilayers up to 40 layers thick as deduced by neutron reflectometry and IR spectroscopy (Davis et al., 1996). Such ordered multilayering is characteristic only of calix[4]resorcinarenes with free hydroxyl groups and the extent of multilayering is determined by the length of the alkyl chains. The multilayering behaviour of the related compound calix-[4]pyrogallene, (II) (Beer et al., 1989; Holmes & Tasker, 1990), is considerably different from that in the calix-[4] resorcinarene. IR measurements of carbon-etching intensities in the multilayers suggest that only some five layers build up spontaneously. This different multilayering behaviour of the two chemically and structurally related compounds seemed difficult to explain, and we undertook X-ray crystal structural studies to obtain information on the disposition of the alkyl chains and the nature of intermolecular (especially inter-bowl) interactions. It was assumed that bowl-to-bowl interactions through hydrogen bonding and/or van der Waals interactions would be mainly responsible for the multilayering behaviour of such compounds.



The molecular structures of (I) and (II) are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, whilst the unit-cell contents are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. The structures of the pentacyclooctacosadodecaene-octol/dodecol moieties (bowls) in the two compounds are illustrated



Fig. 1. A general view of the molecular structure of (1). The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The H atoms and solvate species have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. A general view of the molecular structure of (II). The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Atoms joined by dashed lines represent the alternative sites for the disordered atoms in two of the alkyl chains. The H atoms and solvate species have been omitted for clarity.

in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Tables 1 and 3 for (I) and (II), respectively, and the dimensions of possible hydrogen bonds are presented in Tables 2 and 4. Both molecules adopt very similar 'bowl' structures (Figs. 5 and 6), with the four long  $C_{11}$  alkyl chains forming 'legs' to each bowl. The corresponding bond lengths



Fig. 3. Unit-cell contents for (I), viewed along b.



Fig. 4. Unit-cell contents for (II), viewed along a.

and angles in the two molecules are similar with only minor differences, and the values are as expected on the basis of the particular atom type (C or O) and the state of hybridization  $(sp^2 \text{ or } sp^3)$  of the C atoms involved. Thus, the  $C_{sp^2}$ — $C_{sp^2}$  and  $C_{sp^2}$ — $C_{sp^3}$ distances are, respectively, 1.373 (6)–1.410 (7) (average 1.389) and 1.516 (6)–1.532 (6) Å (average 1.523 Å) in (I), and 1.368 (7)–1.407 (7) (average 1.388) and 1.507 (7)–1.547 (7) Å (average 1.528 Å) in (II). Similarly the C—OH distances are 1.373 (6)–1.400 (5) Å (average 1.385 Å) in (I) and 1.358 (6)–1.411 (6) Å (average



Fig. 5. The structure of the bowl in (1), showing the atom labelling. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only the hydroxy H atoms are shown (circles).



Fig. 6. The structure of the bowl in (II), showing the atom labelling. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only the hydroxy H atoms are shown (circles).

1.382 Å) in (II). It is also observed that all the sixmembered rings in both compounds show nearly identical angular distortions which are most prominent at C3, C7 and C25, and at their corresponding counterparts in the other rings. The average values for the C-C-C angles at C4/C10/C16/C22, C5/C11/C17/C23 and C6/C12/C18/C24 are, respectively, 121.5, 120.1 and 121.3° for (I), and 120.7, 120.1 and 121.3° for (II), whilst those at C3/C9/C15/C21, C7/C13/C19/C1 and C25/C26/C27/C28 are 116.0, 116.2 and 125.0° for (I), and 117.3, 116.6 and 123.9° for (II). These angular distortions from an ideal value of 120.0° may be attributed to the strain suffered by the six-membered rings as a result of their being incorporated in the 16membered macrocycle. The C11 alkyl chains on both molecules are flexible and orientate themselves in a way to optimize inter-chain contacts (C···C non-bonded distances > 3.6 Å). One striking feature common to both the crystal structures is the interdigitation of the long alkyl chains which lie nearly parallel to the c axis of the unit cell. As seen from the packing diagrams, these chains are interdigitated to the extent of *ca* ten C atoms in (I) and ca eight C atoms in (II), but there are no intermolecular inter-chain contacts less than the appropriate van der Waals radii sum. This phenomenon of interdigitation is presumably a response to the favourable packing forces and it also imposes the bowl (cone) conformation as opposed to other possible arrangements (Gutsche, 1989). Two of the alkyl chains in (II) show some orientational disorder which, however, does not seem to affect the overall molecular geometry parameters or packing arrangement in the crystal. It may be mentioned further that the molecules are held together in the crystal by an extensive network of hydrogen bonding (Tables 2 and 4) involving the hydroxy groups on the bowl and solvate species [four ethanol molecules per resorcinarene in (I), and 2.5 ethanol and one water molecule per pyrogallene in (II)]. There is no direct bowl-to-bowl hydrogen bonding involving the hydroxy groups on neighbouring molecules; such interactions are effected via fairly strong van der Waals interactions  $[C17 \cdots O16(1-x, -y, 1-z) = 3.297(8) \text{ Å}$ and C23...O18(-x, -y, 1-z) = 3.325 (8) Å for (I)  $(H \cdots O \text{ contacts} > 2.73 \text{ Å})$ , and  $O23 \cdots O4(1-x, 1-y)$ , 1-z = 3.086 Å, O6···O22(1 + x, y, z) = 3.169 Å and  $O23 \cdots O24(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) = 3.393 \text{ Å for (II)} (H \cdots O)$ contacts > 2.60 Å)]. Formation of intramolecular O---- $H \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bonding involving the hydroxy groups in both molecules appears to favour the formation and stabilization of the observed 'cone' conformation.

## Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared from resorcinol and dodecanal under acid catalysis (Aoyama et al., 1989); compound (II) was similarly prepared from pyrogallol and dodecanol. When crystallized from ethanol, both compounds contained ethanol

[and in the case of (II), also water] of crystallization as deduced from NMR spectroscopy and confirmed by X-ray crystallography. When the crystals were exposed to air, the solvate molecules were apparently lost, as seen by NMR, and the crystals degraded to a powder. Crystalline samples of both compounds were therefore stored in a saturated solution in ethanol. Crystals of both compounds were mounted using silicone oil which acted as both a coating and an adhesive.

#### Compound (I)

Crystal data

C72H112O8.4C2H6O Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 1289.89$  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Triclinic Cell parameters from 250  $P\overline{1}$ reflections a = 12.533(3) Å  $\theta=1.76{-}25.12^\circ$ b = 12.649(3) Å  $\mu = 0.071 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 25.319(5) Å T = 150(2) K $\alpha = 84.79(3)^{\circ}$ Prism  $\beta = 80.74(3)^{\circ}$  $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$  mm  $\gamma = 83.84(3)^{\circ}$ Colourless  $V = 3927.6 (15) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.091 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured Data collection 4060 reflections with Delft Instruments FAST area eter Meth

Dent instruments 1A51	4000 reneerions
area-detector diffractom-	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
eter	$R_{\rm int} = 0.052$
Method: Darr et al. (1993)	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.12^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
14 448 measured reflections	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
9878 independent reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 27$

## Refinement

Refinement on F <sup>2</sup>	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.010$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.074$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.652 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$vR(F^2) = 0.196$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.358 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 0.783	Extinction correction: none
878 reflections	Scattering factors from
49 parameters	International Tables for
I atoms: riding model	Crystallography (Vol. C)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1003P)^2]$	
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters  $(\text{\AA}, \circ)$  for (1)

C1C28	1.394 (6)	C12—C13	1.397 (6)
C1-C24	1.401 (6)	C13-C26	1.379 (6)
C1C2	1.524 (6)	C13—C14	1.532 (6)
C2—C3	1.521 (7)	C14C15	1.532 (6)
C2-C29	1.536 (6)	C14—C51	1.544 (6)
C3-C25	1.393 (6)	C15-C27	1.388 (6)
C3C4	1.410 (7)	C15-C16	1.391 (5)
C4C5	1.378 (7)	C16—O16	1.383 (5)
C404	1.387 (6)	C16—C17	1.389 (6)
C5—C6	1.387 (7)	C17—C18	1.369 (6)
C6O6	1.373 (6)	C18—C19	1.391 (6)
С6—С7	1.396 (7)	C18—O18	1.400 (5)
C7—C25	1.387 (7)	C19C27	1.393 (6)
С7—С8	1.517 (6)	C19C20	1.517 (6)
С8—С9	1.523 (6)	C20C21	1.516 (6)
C8—C40	1.530 (6)	C20—C62	1.530 (6)
C9C10	1.383 (6)	C21-C28	1.387 (6)

# DAVID E. HIBBS et al.

C9C26	1.393 (6)	C21-C22	2	1.398 (6)	Data collection			
	1.373 (6)	$C_{22} = C_{23}$	) )	1.380 (6)	Delft Instruments E	AST	4254 reflections v	vith
	1.385 (0)	C22	<u>,</u>	1.390 (3)	area-detector diff	ractom-	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	
C12	1.394 (5)	C24	, 1	1.379 (5)	eter		$R_{\rm int} = 0.070$	
C18 C1 C24	116 7 (4)	C12 C12		1216 (4)	Mathod: Darr at $al$ (1003)		$A = 25.04^{\circ}$	
$C_{20} = C_{1} = C_{24}$	110.5(4)	$C_{12} = C_{13}$		109.8 (4)	Method: Dall et al. (1993)		$v_{\rm max} = 25.04$	
$C_{20} = C_{1} = C_{2}$	122.0(5)	C15-C14		113.2 (4)	Absorption correction	on: none	$n = -13 \rightarrow 9$	
C3C2C1	111.1 (3)	C13-C14	I	111.8 (3)	15 282 measured re	flections	$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$	
C3-C2-C29	113.8 (4)	C27-C15	5—C16	116.3 (4)	10 102 independent		$l = -29 \rightarrow 28$	
C1-C2-C29	111.2 (4)	C27-C1	5C14	122.6 (4)	reflections			
C25-C3-C4	115.3 (5)	C16C15	5—C14	121.1 (4)				
C25C3C2	122.9 (5)	016	5C17	115.8 (4)	Refinement			
$C_4 = C_3 = C_2$	121.7 (5)	C17 C16	5-C15	123.4 (4)	Refinement on $F^2$		$(\Delta/\sigma) = -0.0$	12
$C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{3}$	1213 (5)	C18-C1	7	120.3(3)	$p[F^2 > 2-(F^2)] = 0$	0.004	$(\Delta / 0)_{max} = 0.0$	$\lambda^{-3}$
04-C4-C3	120.0 (5)	C17-C18	3-C19	121.9 (4)	R[T > 20(T)] = 0	0.084	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.804 \ c$	A
C4-C5 C6	120.9 (5)	C17-C18	3018	116.6 (4)	WK(F) = 0.241		$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.2776$	e A
O6-C6-C5	115.6 (5)	C19C18	3—O18	121.5 (4)	S = 0.882		Extinction correct	ion: none
O6-C6-C7	124.0 (5)	C18-C19	9—C27	115.6 (5)	10102 reflections		Scattering factors	from
C5C6C7	120.4 (5)	C18C19	9—C20	122.6 (4)	930 parameters		International Te	ables for
C25C7C6	116.8 (5)	C27_C19		121.8 (4)	H atoms: riding mo	del	Crystallograph	v (Vol. C)
$C_{23} - C_{7} - C_{8}$	121.7(5)	$C_{21} - C_{20}$	)	110.5 (4)	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_2^2) + (0)]$	$(1184P)^2$		
$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	121.3(3)	C19-C20		113.2(4)	where $P = (F^2)$	$2F^{2}\sqrt{3}$		
C7-C8-C40	113.6 (4)	C28-C2	-C22	115.4 (5)	where $I = (I_0)$	21 ( ), 5		
C9C8C40	111.4 (4)	C28C2		122.3 (4)	Table 3 Selector	l acometria	narameters (Å	$(\mathbf{H})$ for $(\mathbf{H})$
C10C9C26	116.9 (4)	C22-C2		122.3 (4)	Table 5. Selected	geometric	. purumeters (A,	) ]0/ (11)
C10-C9-C8	123.2 (5)	C23-C22	2—022	120.4 (4)	C1C28	1.396 (8)	C12-C13	1.408 (7)
C26C9C8	119.9 (4)	C23—C22	2—C21	122.5 (4)	C1C24	1.405 (8)	C13C26	1.381 (7)
010	117.9 (4)	O22-C2	2—C21	117.0 (5)	$C_1 - C_2$	1.525 (7)	C13 -C14	1.520 (7)
	120.9 (5)	$C_{24} - C_{2}$	3	119.3 (4)	$C_2 = C_2$	1.530 (8)	C14C15	1.507(7) 1.548(7)
$C_{12}$ $C_{11}$ $C_{10}$	121.2 (3)	024 - C2	+	120.2(4)	C3-C4	1.380 (8)	$C_{15} - C_{27}$	1.377(7)
$C_{11}$ $-C_{12}$ $-O_{12}$	122.1(4)	C23-C24	4C1	121.3 (5)	C3-C25	1.409 (8)	C15-C16	1.381 (7)
C11-C12-C13	121.4 (4)	C7-C25-	C3	125.3 (5)	C404	1.375 (7)	C16016	1.382 (6)
012-C12-C13	116.4 (5)	C13-C20	бС9	124.4 (5)	C4C5	1.388 (8)	C16C17	1.393 (7)
C26-C13-C12	116.3 (5)	C15—C2	7—C19	125.0 (4)	C5C6	1.368 (9)	C17-C18	1.369 (7)
C26C13C14	122.1 (4)	C21C28	3C1	125.2 (4)	C505	1.376 (7)	C17-017	1.372 (6)
			•		$C_{6} = C_{7}$	1.378 (8)		1.400 (7)
Table 2. Hydros	gen-bondi	ng geom	etry (Å, °)	for (1)	$C_{1} = C_{2}$	1.405(7)	C10 - C27	1 382 (7)
	,		<b>D</b>		C7—C8	1.534 (8)	C19-C20	1.524 (8)
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D = H \cdots A$	C8-C40	1.513 (7)	C20C21	1.516 (8)
04 - H4 + 013 06 - H6 + 010	(1.82 (3))	1.95 (4)	2.0/0 (0)	152 (1)	C8C9	1.539 (7)	C20C62	1.539 (8)
010-H10···035	0.82(3)	1.89 (3)	2.696 (5)	167 (2)	C9—C26	1.390 (7)	C21-C28	1.387 (7)
O16—H16···O12	0.82 (2)	2.00 (3)	2.777 (5)	157 (2)	C9-C10	1.393 (7)	C21C22	1.391 (8)
O18—H18· · · O22	0.82 (3)	1.92 (3)	2.702 (5)	159 (2)	C10-010	1.382 (0)	$C_{22} = 0_{22}$	1.383 (0)
$O22-H22\cdot\cdot\cdot O2S'$	0.82 (2)	1.80 (3)	2.600 (6)	165 (1)	CII = CI2	1 385 (7)	$C_{22} = C_{23}$	1.370(7)
$O1S$ — $H1S \cdot \cdot \cdot O4S''$	0.82 (3)	1.98 (2)	2.768 (6)	162 (2)	C11-011	1.390 (6)	C23-C24	1.386 (8)
$025 - H25 \cdots 045''$	0.82(3)	2.09 (4)	2.877 (6)	161 (2)	C12012	1.357 (6)	C24—O24	1.383 (7)
O12 - H12 + O13 $O4S - H4S - O1S^{HI}$	0.82(3)	1.92 (3)	2.714 (5)	164 (2)	C18 C1 C24	1175 (5)	C12 C13 C14	110.0 (5)
043—H434 + 013	0.82(4)	1.95 (4)	2.753 (5)	168 (3)	$C_{28} = C_{1} = C_{24}$	122.9 (5)	$C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{14}$	1133(4)
Symmetry codes: (i) r	1 (ii)	$\mathbf{r} = 1$ $\mathbf{v} = 2$			C24-C1-C2	119.7 (6)	C51-C14-C15	112.3 (4)
Symmetry codes. (1) x,	1 + y, z, (1)	x = 1, y, z	(11) + x, y	, 2.	C1-C2-C29	113.7 (5)	C13-C14-C15	110.3 (4)
Compound (II)					C1C2C3	111.2 (5)	C27-C15-C16	117.3 (5)
Compound (II)					C29—C2—C3	112.9 (5)	C27-C15-C14	122.9 (5)
Crystal data					C4C3C25	117.7 (6)	C16-C15-C14	119.8 (5)
C72H112O12.2.5C2H6	O.H <sub>2</sub> O	Μο Κα	radiation		(4 - (3 - (2 - (2 - (2 - (2 - (2 - (2 - (2	122.0 (6)	C15 - C16 - C17	119.3 (5)
$M_{\rm c} = 1302.80$	2	$\lambda = 0.71$	069 Å		04 - 64 - 63	123.6 (6)	016 - 016 - 017	119.5 (5)
Triclinic		Cell nar	ameters fro	m 250	04C4C5	116.5 (6)	C18-C17-O17	123.8 (5)
DI		cen par	liona	m 250	C3C4C5	119.9 (6)	C18-C17-C16	118.8 (5)
- 11 0071 (14) Å					C6C5O5	120.9 (6)	O17-C17-C16	117.3 (5)
a = 11.9971 (14) A		$\theta = 1.84$	-25.04		C6C5C4	120.1 (6)	C17C18C19	122.6 (5)
b = 12.700(2) Å		$\mu = 0.0$	// mm		05	119.0 (6)	C17-C18-O18	118.0 (5)
c = 25.742 (4) A		T = 150	(2) K		$C_{5-}C_{6-}C_{7}$	122.8 (6)	$C_{19} = C_{18} = 0.018$	119.4 (5)
$\alpha = 80.06 (2)^{\circ}$		Prism			C7-C6-O6	121.5 (6)	C27-C19-C20	122.7 (5)
$\beta = 84.22 (2)^{\circ}$		0.36 × 0	$0.15 \times 0.10$	) mm	C6C7C25	116.2 (6)	C18-C19-C20	121.7 (5)
$\gamma = 82.372 (9)^{\circ}$		Colourle	ss		C6—C7—C8	123.4 (5)	C21-C20-C19	111.3 (5)
$V = 3817.0 (10) \text{ Å}^3$					C25-C7-C8	120.5 (5)	C21-C20-C62	111.7 (5)
Z = 2					C40—C8—C7	113.5 (5)	C19-C20-C62	113.2 (5)
$D_r = 1.134 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$					C40-C8-C9	114.0 (5)	$C_{28} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	11/.1 (6)
D not measured					C1-C0-C10	110.5 (4)	$C_{20} - C_{21} - C_{20}$	122.5 (5)
Em not measured					C20-C7-C10			120.7 (3)

C26—C9—C8	123.1 (5)	O22—C22—C21	122.7 (6)
С10С9С8	119.8 (5)	O22—C22—C23	115.9 (5)
O10C10C11	119.3 (5)	C21-C22-C23	121.3 (5)
O10C10C9	120.2 (5)	O23-C23-C24	120.1 (6)
С11—С10—С9	120.4 (5)	O23—C23—C22	119.6 (5)
C12—C11—C10	121.2 (5)	C24—C23—C22	120.2 (6)
C12-C11-011	122.3 (5)	O24—C24—C23	120.8 (5)
C10-C11-O11	116.6 (5)	O24—C24—C1	119.0 (5)
012—C12—C11	115.0 (5)	C23-C24-C1	120.2 (6)
O12—C12—C13	125.1 (5)	C7C25C3	123.3 (5)
C11—C12—C13	119.8 (5)	C13-C26-C9	124.3 (5)
C26-C13-C12	117.2 (5)	C15-C27-C19	124.5 (5)
C26-C13-C14	122.9 (5)	C21C28C1	123.7 (5)

Table 4. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (II)

D— $H$ ··· $A$	D—H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = H \cdots A$
O4—H4· · ·O24	0.82 (4)	2.00 (4)	2.763 (6)	155 (4)
O6—H6· · ·O10	0.82 (3)	2.04 (2)	2.812 (6)	157 (2)
O10—H10· · ·O6	0.82 (5)	2.28 (4)	2.812 (6)	123 (4)
011—H11···O4W	0.82 (4)	1.99 (4)	2.710 (6)	145 (2)
012-H12···016	0.82 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.750 (5)	176 (2)
O16-H16···O17	0.82 (4)	2.26 (3)	2.701 (6)	114 (2)
016—H16···01S	0.82 (4)	1.94 (3)	2.708 (5)	156 (3)
O17—H17···O18	0.82 (5)	2.38 (3)	2.797 (6)	113 (3)
O18—H18· · · O2S	0.82 (3)	1.90 (4)	2.711 (7)	169 (3)
O22—H22···O23	0.82 (4)	2.21 (5)	2.674 (7)	116 (3)
01S—H1S···016	0.82 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.708 (6)	146 (3)
01S—H1S···017	0.82 (4)	2.31 (4)	2.955 (6)	135 (3)
O5—H5···O4₩	0.82 (3)	2.18 (3)	2.980 (7)	167 (2)
O24— $H24$ ···O1S <sup>1</sup>	0.82 (5)	1.81 (5)	2.612 (7)	166 (2)
O4W—H4W2···O5 <sup>™</sup>	0.94 (4)	2.28 (5)	2.980 (7)	130 (3)
O4 <i>W</i> —H4 <i>W</i> 1···O4 <sup>"</sup>	0.97 (4)	2.12 (4)	3.077 (6)	166 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y = 1, z; (ii) x, 1 + y, z.

The unit-cell parameters and intensity data were recorded at 150 K on a FAST area-detector diffractometer using the routines ENDEX, REFINE and MADONL in the MADNES software (Pflugrath & Messerschmidt, 1989); detailed procedures are described by Darr et al. (1993). Seven CH<sub>2</sub> groups (C32, C34, C36, C38, C45, C47 and C49) on two of the alkyl chains in (II) were orientationally disordered; these were refined with partial site occupancies. For both compounds, all non-H atoms were anisotropic; the displacement coefficients of several atoms belonging to the solvate species [C1S, C2S and C8S for (I), and O3S, C5S and C6S for (II)] were kept approximately isotropic using the restraint parameter ISOR =0.01 in SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). For (II), the H atoms on C39 and C50 were ignored and those on the water molecule included in positions obtained from a difference map. Other H atoms in the two compounds were included in calculated positions (riding model) with  $U_{iso}$  set at 1.2 (CH and CH<sub>2</sub>) and 1.5 (OH and CH<sub>3</sub>) times the  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atoms. The bond-length restraints C-C = 1.50(1) and C-O = 1.40(1) Å were used for the solvate ethanol molecules in (II).

For both compounds, data collection: *MADNES*; cell refinement: *REFINE* in *MADNES*; data reduction: *ABSMAD* (Karaulov, 1991); program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELXL93*; molecular graphics: *SNOOPI* (Davies, 1983); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL93*.

We thank the EPSRC and the University of Sheffield for support of this work.

#### References

- Adams, H., Davis, F. & Stirling, C. J. M. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 2527-2529.
- Aoyama, Y., Yanaka, Y. & Sugahara, S. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 5397–5404.
- Beer, P. D., Smyth, A. C., Tite, E. L. & Ibbotson, A. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 376. C11-C14.
- Cram, D. J. & Cram, J. M. (1994). In *Container Molecules and Their Guests*. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Darr, J. A., Drake, S. R., Hursthouse, M. B. & Malik, K. M. A. (1993). Inore. Chem. 32, 5704–5708.
- Davies, K. (1983). SNOOPI. Molecular Plotting Program. University of Oxford, England.
- Davis, F., Gerber, M., Cowlam, N. & Stirling, C. J. M. (1996). Thin Solid Films, 284–285, 678–682.
- Davis, F. & Stirling, C. J. M. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 10385– 10386.
- Gutsche, C. D. (1989). In *Calixarenes*. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Holmes, J. & Tasker, P. A. (1990). European Patent No. 440 773; Chem. Abstr. 114, 188903w.
- Huisman, B. H., Van-Velzen, E. U. T., Van-Veggel, F. C. J. M., Engbersen, J. F. J. & Reinhoudt, D. N. (1995). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 36, 3273–3276.
- Karaulov, A. I. (1991). ABSMAD. Program for FAST Data Processing. University of Wales, Cardiff, Wales.
- Leigh, D., Linnane, P., Pritchard, R. G. & Jackson, G. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 389–390.
- Pflugrath, J. W. & Messerschmidt, A. (1989). MADNES. Version of 11 September 1989. Distributed by Delft Instruments. Delft. The Netherlands.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Van-Velzen, E. U. T., Engbersen, J. F. J., Delange, P. J., Mahy, J. W. G. & Reinhoudt, D. N. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 6853– 6862.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 992-994

## 4,6-Dimethyl-2-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-ylmethyl)isothiazolo[5,4-*b*]pyridin-3(2*H*)-one

ZBIGNIEW KARCZMARZYK<sup>a</sup> AND WIESŁAW MALINKA<sup>b</sup>

"Department of Chemistry, Agricultural and Teachers' University, ul. 3 Maja 54, PL-08 110 Siedlce, Poland, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry of Drugs, University of Medicine, ul. Tamka 1, PL-50 137 Wrocław, Poland. E-mail: kar@wsrp.siedlce.pl

(Received 22 October 1997; accepted 22 January 1998)

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{19}H_{22}$ -N<sub>4</sub>OS, is described. The isothiazolopyridine part of the molecule is planar, while the piperazine ring adopts a slightly deformed chair conformation. The structure is

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KA1222). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.